

The November, 1977 issue (CNLVol. 16, No. 3, page 609) included a summary of the conviction at Philadelphia in August, 1683 of counterfeiters accused of coining "Spanish Bitts and New England Shillings". This gem of information was located by Edward R. Barnsley in the published minutes of the Provincial Council of Pennsylvania (Colonial Records, Philadelphia 1852, Volume I, pp. 84-89, 91-92). I think it is important to point out that only Spanish bitts (New Bitts) were counterfeited. Silver heavily adulterated with copper was the material used and the dies were produced in America. According to the report "New England shillings" or "Boston money" were not actually counterfeited even though Governor William Penn accused the defendants of having done so. A Proclamation was issued August 27, 1683 "to put downe Bitts of Coyne, so Called" and there was no mention of either of Boston money or New England shillings in the Proclamation. The confusion was apparently created by Governor Penn because an attempt had been made to pass the counterfeit New Bitts to pay New England men an amount presumably in New England shillings (money of account). When the New England men rejected the New Bitts the passer undertook to have Mary Bartholomew, among others, change some counterfeit New Bitts for other money so the other money could be paid to ^{the} New England men.

The reason I feel that this fact is so important is that the counterfeiting of [redacted] [redacted] coined Massachusetts silver at the time of their extended use as currency has never been documented to my knowledge. Joseph B. Felt in 1839 and Sylvester S. Crosby in 1873 quoted some general counterfeiting matters, but there is no mention of Massachusetts silver being counterfeited for circulation. Noe referred only to clipping and to fabrications and imitations of modern origin. Taxay in 1970 (p. 4) listed Pine Tree Shillings Noe 13, 14, and 31 "as probably fabrications as known specimens are very much underweight and

~~and~~ seem to have been deliberately struck on small planchets to simulate clipped coins". He also referred to the unique Pine Tree Shilling Noe 12 from the Castine Hoard found in 1840 as "possibly a contemporary counterfeit". In the 1973 Quarterman Publications compilation, The Silver Coinage of Massachusetts, Walter Breen (p. 246) used "unique early counterfeit" in referring to Pine Tree Shillings Noe 12 and 31. Thus it appears that no early documentary evidence has been located that Massachusetts silver was counterfeited for circulation and that only modern opinions exist that certain of the coins were or might have been such counterfeits. Has anyone any references or data to enlighten us on the subject?

Eric P. Newman

a summary of the conviction at Philadelphia in August, 1683 of counterfeiters accused of coining "Spanish Bitts and New England Shillings". This gem of information was located by Edward R. Barnsley in the published minutes of the Provincial Council of Pennsylvania (Colonial Records, Philadelphia 1852, Volume I, pp. 84-89, 91-92). I think it is important to point out

that only Spanish bitts (New Bitts) were counterfeited. ^{Silver heavily} The metal was silver adulterated with copper, ^{was the material used} and the dies were ~~American made.~~ ^{It is}

^{produced in America's} important to note that ^{actually} According to the report "New England shillings" or "Boston money" were not counterfeited even though Governor William

Penn accused the defendants of having done so. A Proclamation was issued August 27, 1683 "to put downe Bitts of Coyne, so Called" and there was no mention of either of Boston money or New England shillings in the Proclamation. The confusion was apparently created by Governor Penn because an

attempt had been made to pass the counterfeit New Bitts to pay New England men an amount presumably in New England shillings (money of account). When the

New England men rejected the New Bitts the passer undertook to have Mary Bartholomew, among others, change some of ^{New Bitts} the counterfeits for other money so the other money could be paid to the New England men. ^{IP} The reason I

feel that this fact is so important is that the counterfeiting of coined New England shillings or coined Massachusetts silver at the time of ^{then extended} their use as currency has never been ^{documented} clear to my knowledge, and perhaps

~~some one has information on the subject~~

^{Not} Joseph B. Felt in 1839 and Sylvester S. Crosby in 1873 ^{quoted} ~~some~~ ^{after} ~~the~~ general counterfeiting matters, but there is no mention of Massachusetts silver being counterfeited for circulation. ^{to clipping and} Noe referred only to fabrications or imitations ~~of~~ of modern origin. ~~of~~ Taxay in ~~1970~~ ¹⁹⁷³ (p. 4) listed Pine Tree Shillings Noe 13, 14, and 31 "as probably fabrications as known specimens are very much underweight and seem to have been deliberately struck on small planchets to simulate clipped coins." He also referred to the unique Pine Tree Shilling Noe 12 from the Costine Hoard found in 1840 as "possibly a contemporary counterfeit". In the ¹⁹⁷³ Quaterman Publications compilation, The Silver Coinage of Massachusetts, ~~Walter~~ ^{used} ~~Breen~~ ^{Walter} Breen (p. 246) ~~said~~ "unique early counterfeit" in referring to Pine Tree Shillings Noe 12 and 31. Thus it appears that ~~there is no~~ ^{no} ~~documentary evidence~~ ^{has been located} ~~that~~ ^{as to} Massachusetts silver was counterfeited ^{only opinions} ~~that~~ ^{or} ~~that~~ ^{might} ~~that~~ ^{such counterfeits} ~~that~~ ^{references or data} ~~on the subject?~~ ^{Has anyone any information on the subject?}

Wentigton us